## The Commonwealth of Massachusetts STATE ELECTION

**SAMPLE** 

### BALLOT

FREETOWN Pct. 3

289/289

#### **TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 2, 2010**

To vote for a candidate, connect the arrow ( to the right of the candidate's name. To vote for a person not on the ballot, write that person's name and residence in the blank space provided and connect the arrow.

	-
GOVERNOR AND LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR	
PATRICK and MURRAY +++++++Democratic	
BAKER and TISEI + + + + + + + + + + Republican	
CAHILL and LOSCOCCO +++++++Independent	
STEIN and PURCELL +++++++Green-Rainbew	<b>—</b>
DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. Use blank line below for write-in.	`
WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY	<b>←</b>
ATTORNEY GENERAL Vote for ONE	
MARTHA COAKLEY ++++++++++ Democratic 45 Coolidge Rd, Mediord Candidate for Re-efection	← =
JAMES P. MCKENNA ++++++++Republican 28 Mars St., Malbury	← =
DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. Use blank line below for write-in.	
WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY	<b>←</b> ■
SECRETARY OF STATE	
WILLIAM FRANCIS GALVIN ++++++ Democratic	
45 Lzke St. Besten Candidze for Re-election WILLIAM G. CAMPBELL + + + + + + + Republican	
45 Affician Rd., Wolumn  JAMES D. HENDERSON +++++++++++Unenralled	
38 Brandymeade Gir, Slow DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.	
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.	4
WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY	
TREASURER Vote for ONE	
STEVEN GROSSMAN + + + + + + + + + + + + Democratics St Hundrington Rd., NewCon	← =
KARYN E. POLITO + + + + + + + + + + + + + + Republicate 11 Cozoftman Ricigs Rd., Shrewsbury	<b>← =</b>
DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. Use blank line below for write-in.	
WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY	<b>←</b> =
AUDITOR	
Vote for ONE SUZANNE M. BUMP	<b>←</b> =
MARY Z. CONNAUGHTON ++++++Republican	<b>←</b>
1 Tombins Ln., Frankinghain NATHANAEL ALEXANDER FORTUNE + Green-Rainbew 152 Weitbrook PA, Mandale	<b>← −</b>
152 Westbrook R6, Whately  DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.  USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.	
WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY	← -

DEDDESCRIPTION IN COLUMN	
REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS FOURTH DISTRICT Vole for ONE	
BARNEY FRANK + + + + + + + + + + + + + Democratic	<b>— =</b>
274 Grove St., Newton Candidate for Re-election SEAN DM BIELAT +++++++++++ Republican	
22 James St., Brookline	
SUSAN F. ALLEN	<b>— —</b>
DONALD M. JORDAN ++++++Tax Revolt Independent 3 Fifth St., Wareham	<b>← =</b>
DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.	
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.	
WRITE-IN SPACE ONES	
COUNCILLOR FIRST DISTRICT Vote for ONE	
CHARLES OLIVER CIPOLLINI ++++++Republican	<b>—</b> =
209 King St., Fall River OLIVER P. CIPOLLINI, JR. +++++++ Demogratic	` <u>`</u>
20 Siscayne Di., Barristable  DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.	
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.	
WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY	<b>—</b>
White it arace blet	}
SENATOR IN GENERAL COURT	
FIRST BRISTOL & PLYMOUTH DISTRICT Vote for ONE	
DEREK A. MAKSY + + + + + + + + + + + + + + Republican 1 Gedar Berry Lr., Lakeville	_ =
MICHAEL J. RODRIGUES + + + + + + + + + Domecralic 428 Sanford Rd., Westport	<b>← </b>
DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.	
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.	
WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY	
REPRESENTATIVE IN GENERAL COURT TWELFTH BRISTOL DISTRICT	
STEPHEN R. CANESSA ++++++++Democratic	
409 Prescart St., New Bedford Candidate for Re-election DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.	
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.	
WRITE-IH SPACE ONLY	, 🖛 🖼
All the meriod one	:
DISTRICT ATTORNEY	
RRISTOL DISTRICT Vote for ONE  C. SAMUEL SUTTERDemocratic	
259 Deuter St., Fall River Candidate for Re-election	
DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE	

HERIFF
RISTOL COUNTY Vote for ON
HOMAS M. HODGSON + - + + + + + + + + Republica 6 Hathawary Rd., Dantmouth Candidate for Re-electic
OHN F. QUINN ++++++++++++Demasral 9 Smith Neck Rd., Dartmouth
LAN D. GARCIA + + + + + + + + + + + + + independe
DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.
WRITE-IN SPACE ON
OUNTY COMMISSIONER
OUNTY COMMISSIONER INSTOL COUNTY Vote for ON IARIA F. LOPES
OUNTY COMMISSIONER USTOL COUNTY Vote for ON

# QUESTION 1 LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE

PETITION

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives before May 4, 2010?

SUMMARY

This proposed law would remove the Massachusetts sales tax on alcoholic beverages and alcohol, where the sale of such beverages and alcohol or their importation into the state is already subject to a separate excise tax under state law. The proposed law

would take effect on January 1, 2011.

A YES VOTE would remove the state sales tax on alcoholic beverages and alcohol where their sale or importation into the state is sub-

ject to an excise tax under state law.

\*\*A NO VOTE\* would make no change in the state sales tax on alcoholic beverages and YES **◆** 

NO

**QUESTIONS CONTINUED ON BACK** 

**VOTE BOTH SIDES** 

**QUESTION 2** LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives before May 4, 2010?

SUMMARY

This proposed law would repeal an existing state law that allows a qualified organization wishing to build government-subsidized housing that includes low- or moderale-income units to apply for a single comprehensive permit from a city or town's zoning board of appeals (ZBA), instead of separate permits from each local agency or official having jurisdiction over any aspect of the proposed housing. The repeal would take effect on January 1, 2011, but would not stop or otherwise affect any proposed housing that had already received both a comprehensive permit and a building permit for at least one unit.

Under the existing law, the ZBA holds a public hearing on the application and considers the recommendations of local agencies and officials. The ZBA may grant a comprehensive permit and a building permit have been provided by the commendation of local agencies and officials.

prehensive permit that may include conditions or requirements concerning the height, site plan, size, shape, or building materials of the housing. Persons aggrieved by the ZBAS decision to grant a permit may appeal it to a court. If the ZBA denies the permit or grants it with conditions or requirements that make the housing uneconomic to build or to operate, the applicant may appeal to the state Housing Appeals Committee (HAC).

After a hearing, if the HAC rules that the ZBA's denial of a comprehensive permit was unreasonable and not consistent with local needs, the HAC orders the ZBA to issue

After a hearing, if the HAC rules that the ZBA's denial of a comprehensive permit was unreasonable and not consistent with local needs, the HAC orders the ZBA to issue permit. If the HAC rules that the ZBA's decision is susing a comprehensive permit with conditions or requirements made the housing uneconomic to build or operate and was not consistent with local needs, the HAC orders the ZBA to modify or remove any such condition or requirement so as to make the proposal no longer uneconomic. The HAC cannot order the ZBA to issue any permit that would allow the housing to fall below minimum safety standards or site plan requirements. If the HAC rules that the ZBA's action was consistent with local needs, the HAC must uphold it even if it made the housing uneconomic. The HAC's decision is subject to review in the courts. A condition or requirement makes housing "uneconomic" if it would prevent a public agency or non-profit organization from building or operating the housing without a reasonable return on its investment. A ZBA's decision is "consistent with local needs" if it applies requirements that are reasonable in view of the regional need for low- and moderate-income housing and the number of low-income persons in the city or town, as well as the need to protect health and safety, promote better site and building design, and preserve open space, if those requirements are applied as equally as possible to both subsidized and unsubsidized housing. Requirements are considered "consistent with local needs" if more than 10% of the city or town's housing units are low- or moderate-income units or if such units are on sites making up at least 1.5% of the total private land zoned for residential, commercial, or industrial use in the city or lown, or or moderate-income housing on sites making up more than 0.3% of the total private land zoned for residential, or more than 0.3% or the total private land zoned for residential, or more than 0.3% or the total private land zoned for residential, or more than 0.3% or the to calcular for industrial use in the city or town, or on ten acres, whichever is larger.

The proposed law states that if any of its parts were declared invalid, the other parts would stay in effect.

A YES VOTE would repeal the state law allowing the issuance of a single comprehensive permit to build housing that includes low- or moderate-income units.

A NO VOTE would make no change in the state law allowing issuance of such a comprehensive permit.

YES ◀

NO

QUESTION 3

LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives before May 4, 2010?

SUMMARY

This proposed law would reduce the state sales and use tax rates (which were 6.25% as of September 2009) to 3% as of January 1, 2011. It would make the same reduction in the rate used to determine the amount to be deposited with the state Commissioner of Revenue by non-resident building contractors as security for the payment of

sales and use tax on tangible personal property used in carrying out their contracts.

The proposed law provides that if the 3% rates would not produce enough revenues to satisfy any lawful pledge of sales and use tax revenues in connection with any bond, note, or other contractual obligation, then the rates would instead be reduced to the lowest level allowed by law.

The proposed law would not affect the collection of moneys due the Commonwealth for sales, storage, use or other consumption of tangible personal property or serv-

ices occurring before January 1, 2011.

The proposed law states that if any of its parts were declared invalid, the other parts would stay in effect. **A YES VOTE** would reduce the state sales and use tax rates to 3%.

A NO VOTE would make no change in the state sales and use tax rates.

YES ·

NO

289